

# No Additional THMs Should be Considered for Prioritization

---

Robert G. Tardiff, PhD., ATS  
*The Sapphire Group, Inc.*

*On Behalf of*  
Chlorine Chemistry Division  
American Chemistry Council

20 November 2008



# Evidence that THMs as a Group are Not Clearly Shown to Cause Reproductive & Developmental Toxicity

---

- USEPA & WHO
- Epidemiologic findings
- Toxicological findings



# Studies Identified by OEHHA As “Increased Risk” from THM

- Hwang et al., 2008
  - ◆ Not significant for 3 endpoints:  $LCI \leq 1$
  - ◆ Significant <all birth defects>
    - ☞ Low dose only; no dose-response
    - ☞ Overall: 5 studies not SS
- Toledano et al., 2005
  - ◆ Not significant:  $LCI < 1$
  - ◆ Significant <LBW & VLBW>
    - ☞ Confounding w/ socio-economic status unadjusted
    - ☞ One H<sub>2</sub>O district of 3
    - ☞ Not significant for 3 districts combined
    - ☞ Overall LBW = 2+ v 5-
    - ☞ Overall VLBW = 1+ v 3-
- Wright et al., 2004
  - ◆ Significant for SGA
    - ☞ No dose-response
    - ☞ Overall: 3+ v 11-



# Studies Identified by OEHHA As “Increased Risk” from THM (cont)

- Windham et al., 2003
  - ◆ Not significant <menstrual cycle>: LCI <1
  - ◆ Poor participation
  - ◆ Self-reporting error
  
- King et al., 2000
  - ◆ Significant <stillbirth, 100 v 50 ppb>
    - ☞ No dose-response
    - ☞ Overall: 2+ v 2-
  
- Waller et al., 1998
  - ◆ Significant <SAB>
    - ☞ 1 of 3 H<sub>2</sub>O supplies
    - ☞ Savitz et al. (2005) with improved design = Not significant
    - ☞ EPA disqualified Waller study
    - ☞ Overall: 1+ v 2-



# Other Considerations for Prioritization

---

- Chemical structure of THM group does not suggest reproductive toxicity
- Metabolism of THM group does not suggest reproductive toxicity



# National and International Consensus: No Clear Evidence that THMs as a Group Cause Reproductive or Developmental Toxicity

- USEPA, 2006: “...concludes that a causal link between adverse reproductive health effects and exposure to chlorinated drinking water or DBPs has not been established ...”
- WHO, 2000: “... existing epidemiological data are insufficient to allow the importance of observed associations of chlorinated water or THMs and adverse reproductive outcomes to be assessed.”



# No Clear or Consistent Association Between Reproductive or Developmental Toxicity and THMs as a Group

- Global review (Tardiff et al. 2006\*): “... *The updated epidemiological weight of evidence demonstrated that that no associations with DBP exposure exists for over a dozen [reproductive] outcomes. ...*”
- Epidemiologic data since 2001:
  - ◆ 29 endpoints = no SS association
  - ◆ 8 endpoints = no distinction THMs from CBP
  - ◆ 3 endpoints = inconsistent findings
  - ◆ 1 endpoint = no confirmation, replication, dose-response

■ \*Reg. Tox Pharm **45**, 185-205 (2006)



# Comprehensive Epidemiologic Factors Do Not Support Prioritization of THM Group

- All negative and positive studies included
- Major study types included
- 40+ endpoints included
- Quality of studies including confounders addressed
- Dose-response sought
- Statistical analyses evaluated
- Criteria for positive associations applied





# Toxicology Weight of Evidence = No Clear Cause of Reproductive or Developmental Toxicity by Group of THMs

---

- No studies



# Conclusion

---

- No sufficient evidence to prioritize any additional THMs either as a group or individually



